THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.7641 of 2003

Dated: 23.08.2006

Between:

T.John Babu S/o Ramaswami, aged 48 years
Employee, Head Office Personal section,
A.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd.,
Somajiguda, Hyderabad

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Vice-Chairman & Managing Director
A.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Somajiguda
Hyderabad & another

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.7641 of 2003

ORDER:

The petitioner filed this writ petition seeking Mandamus declaring the action of respondents in not regularizing his services with effect from 08.10.1982 as contemplated in G.O.Ms.No.368, Food and Agriculture (C.S.III) Department, dated 8.10.1982 and Judgment in W.P.No.8189 of 1986 dated 10.03.1988 is arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner with effect from 8.10.1982.

The case of the petitioner is that he joined in service of the 1st respondent – Corporation on 14.5.1981 as Assistant Grade III in R.No.1, on daily wages. According to the petitioner, the 1st respondent instead of regularizing his services from 08.10.1982 as per G.O.Ms.No.368, dated 8.10.1982, regularized his services from 1.8.1987. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents, brought to the notice of this Court that during the pendency of the writ petition, the services of the petitioner were already regularized and promotion was also given to him by virtue of Proceedings No.P.Admn.A2/143-5/2005 dated 14.01.2006. It is submitted by the

learned counsel for the petitioner that on 22.12.2005, the petitioner filed a representation before the

1st respondent for re-fixing the seniority as per the roaster and also sought for implementation of the consequential benefits, which representation is still pending consideration before the

1st respondent.

Since the representation dated 22.12.2005 of the petitioner is said to be pending consideration before the 1st respondent, both the counsel agreed for disposal of the writ petition with the following direction.

The 1st respondent is directed to consider the representation, dated 22.12.2005, of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon expeditiously, as per the Rules.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

Date: 23rd August 2006

Note:
Issue CC in one week
(BO)
BSS/NSR